

## Section 17.5 Curl and Divergence

“New Tools for Line Integrals”

In the last section, we used the 2-d curl to transform a line integral over a closed curve into a double integral over the region inside the curve. We also used the 2-d curl to determine whether a vector field was conservative. In this section we consider two new functions (one a scalar function and the other a vector function) which we shall be able to use to transform complicated vector integrals into much more straightforward integrals over regions and determine whether a vector field is conservative.

### 1. CURL

We start with a definition.

**Definition 1.1.** Suppose  $\vec{F} = P\vec{i} + Q\vec{j} + R\vec{k}$  is a differentiable vector field. Then we define the curl of  $\vec{F}$  as the vector function

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right)\vec{i} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right)\vec{j} + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right)\vec{k}.$$

The formula for the curl is very long, so it would be useful to have a way to remember it. This can be done through a cross product. Specifically, if we define

$$\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\vec{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\vec{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\vec{k},$$

then we have

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \nabla \times \vec{F}.$$

We consider an example.

**Example 1.2.** Calculate the curl of  $\vec{F} = xyz\vec{i} - x^2y\vec{k}$ .

Using the formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{curl}(\vec{F}) &= \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right)\vec{i} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right)\vec{j} + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right)\vec{k} \\ &= (-x^2 - 0)\vec{i} + (xy + 2xy)\vec{j} + (-xz)\vec{k} = -x^2\vec{i} + 3xy\vec{j} - xz\vec{k}\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is a generalization of the 2-d case and is a consequence of Clairaut's Theorem.

**Result 1.3.** If  $f$  is a function of three variables that has continuous second order partial derivatives, then

$$\text{curl}(\nabla f) = \vec{0}$$

More importantly, this gives us a way of determining whether or not a 3-d vector field is conservative.

**Result 1.4.** If  $\vec{F}$  is a vector field defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose component functions have continuous partial derivatives and  $\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \vec{0}$ , then  $\vec{F}$  is a conservative vector field.

We consider an example.

**Example 1.5.** Show that the vector field  $\vec{F} = e^x \sin(y)\vec{i} + e^x \cos(y)\vec{j} + z\vec{k}$  is conservative and determine a potential function.

Clearly the partial derivatives are continuous, so using the formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{curl}(\vec{F}) &= \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right)\vec{i} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right)\vec{j} + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right)\vec{k} \\ &= (0 - 0)\vec{i} + (0 - 0)\vec{j} + (e^x \cos(y) - e^x \cos(y))\vec{k} = \vec{0},\end{aligned}$$

so the vector field is conservative. A potential function for this vector field is

$$f(x, y, z) = e^x \sin(y) + \frac{z^2}{2}.$$

## 2. DIVERGENCE

Next we introduce a new idea which will be important in future sections.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $\vec{F} = P\vec{i} + Q\vec{j} + R\vec{k}$  is a differentiable vector field. Then we define the divergence of  $\vec{F}$  as the scalar function

$$\text{div}(\vec{F}) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}.$$

With  $\nabla$  as defined before, the divergence can be remembered via the formula

$$\text{div}(\vec{F}) = \nabla \cdot \vec{F}.$$

We consider an example.

**Example 2.2.** Calculate the divergence of  $\vec{F} = xyz\vec{i} - x^2y\vec{k}$ .

Applying the formula, we have

$$\text{div}(\vec{F}) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} = yz$$

The following result again uses Clairats Theorem and gives us a way to test whether a vector field  $\vec{F}$  is the curl is some other vector field  $\vec{G}$  - it will be important in later sections.

**Result 2.3.** If  $\vec{F} = P\vec{i} + Q\vec{j} + R\vec{k}$  and  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $R$  have continuous second-order partial derivatives, then

$$\text{div}(\text{curl}\vec{F}) = 0.$$

This tells us that if

$$\operatorname{div}(\vec{F}) \neq 0$$

then  $\vec{F}$  cannot be the curl of some vector field  $\vec{G}$  (it is not a curl field).

**Example 2.4.** Show that  $\vec{F} = xyz\vec{i} - x^2y\vec{k}$  is not a curl field.

As before, we have

$$\operatorname{div}(\vec{F}) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} = yz \neq 0,$$

so it cannot be a curl field.

### 3. THE VECTOR FORM OF GREEN'S THEOREM

Recall that Green's Theorem states that

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int \int_D \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} dA.$$

We referred to the functions  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$  as the 2-d curl, and the main reason for doing so is because Green's Theorem can be restated in terms of the 3-d curl. Specifically, we have the following:

**Result 3.1.**

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int \int_D (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{k} dA.$$

This formula gives us a nice way to remember Green's Theorem.